



The geometry of lateral stirring

Lyapunov vector from altimetry data



Thanks to: M. Lévy, S. De Monte, C. Cotté, R. Morrow J. Isern-Fontanet E. Hernandez-Garcia, A. Despres, G. Reverdin, S. Alvain

MOTIVATION Importance of the (sub-)mesoscale:

1. submesoscale not resolved by global circulation models and global observation networks

What processes are we neglecting in global budgets? (when/where?)

2. same temporal variability as plankton ecology

"Resonant" biophysical regime

3. same temporal variability as in situ survey

How can we overcome this synopticity problem?





Plankton patchiness



OUTLINE

1. Stirring from altimetry: Lyapunov exponents and vectors

- the role of mesoscale temporal variability
- reconstruction of chaotic stirring by Lyapunov analysis
- kinematic vs. tracer fronts

2. Validation

- satellite SST
- In situ

2. Example of application

- Fluid dynamical niches
- megacosm experiments

A slightly more realistic example: a numerical tracer stirred by altimetric velocities

day 1























day 7











day 20





- A mesoscale velocity field is able to generate submesoscale filaments
- These tracer filaments do not align necessarely with the instantaneous streamlines.
- These tracer filaments are reminiscent of SST and CHL patches
- How can we detect tracer fronts? -> Lyapunov analysis

Finite Size Lyapunov Exponents (FSLEs)

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\tau} \log \left(\delta_{\tau} / \delta_{0} \right)$$

- δ_0 initial separation
- δ_{τ} separation after time τ
- τ time needed for the separation to grow from δ_0 to δ_{τ}



Aurell et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1262 (1996) Boffetta et al., J. of Phys. A, **30**, 1 (1997) chao-dyn/9904049

GLOBAL FILAMENTS FROM ALTIMETRY (5/12/2000, 4km res.)







Submesoscale fronts
spatial+temporal variability of
the velocity field



^{0.4} THE ROLE OF THE MESOSCALE TEMPORAL VARIABILITY

0.3

0.5

0.2

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

A two dimensional velocity field **without temporal** variability does not create filaments:

- eddy cores are perfectly isolated
- ^{0.5} tracer fronts and Lyapunov ridges become aligned to SSH isolines

Lehahn et al. JGR 2007

FRONT ORIENTATION



FRONT ORIENTATION



111E

24

23

113E

24S

111E

24S 109E

24

113E

23

GLOBAL VALIDATION OF LYAPUNOV FRONT ORIENTATION

altimetry-derived Lyapunov exp. and vectors res: 4 km resolution, 6 day Compared with AMSRE SST gradient orientation



Are Lyapunov vectors better than streamlines?

GLOBAL VALIDATION OF LYAPUNOV FRONT ORIENTATION

altimetry-derived Lyapunov exp. and vectors res: 4 km resolution, 6 day Compared with AMSRE SST gradient orientation



Best agreement over high EKE regions

Are Lyapunov vectors better than streamlines? Yes! Gain up to 5 deg.

GLOBAL VALIDATION OF LYAPUNOV FRONT ORIENTATION

altimetry-derived Lyapunov exp. and vectors res: 4 km resolution, 6 day Compared with AMSRE SST gradient orientation



Best agreement over high EKE regions

Are Lyapunov vectors better than streamlines? Yes! Gain up to 5 deg.

2. Example of application: fluid dynamical niches

Phytoplankton biogeography Repartition of phytoplankton types in the oceans

Novel satellite products allow to map plankton communities



d'Ovidio et al. PNAS to appear.

Phytoplankton biogeography Repartition of phytoplankton types in the oceans

Novel satellite products allow to map plankton communities



The specific dominant type affects biogeochemical properties and web chains

Diatoms -> good CO2 export, grazed by large zooplakton Nanoeukariotes -> grazed by small zooplakton Phaeocystis -> produce DMS (atmosphere interaction) Coccolithophorides -> biocalcification, vulnerable to acidification

Comparison between PHYSAT types and Lyapunov exponents PHYSAT types (SeaWiFS) Lyapunov exponents (altimetry) -35 -35₁ 0.2 -40 -40 0.15 <u>ta</u> –45 <u>te</u> –45 \cap -50 -50 -35 -55樽 -55 -65 -60 -55 -50 -70 -45 -70 5 -40 lon <u>ta</u> –45 -50 -55 -70 -65 -60 -55 -50 -45

lon

Comparison between PHYSAT types and Lyapunov exponents



Dominant type community structure has submesoscale structure Ecological frontiers are fronts induced by horizontal stirring

Estimation of water mass origin 3 months before the bloom

Borders of bioprovinces are fronts among water masses of different origin

Trying to reproduce the community structure by advecting subtropical and supolar environmental patches

The possibility of tracking fluid dynamical niches from surface velocities suggest the use of segregated water patches (\sim 100 km wide) for megacosm experiments

LOHAFEX

An Indo-German ocean experiment to test the effect of iron fertilization on the ecology and carbon cycle in the Southern Ocean.

Why a megacosm experiment

- Link between lab mesocosms and large-scale dynamics
- Full inclusion of natural processes at the temporal scale of the bloom

See www.lohafex.com

The possibility of tracking fluid dynamical niches from surface velocities suggest the use of segregated water patches (\sim 100 km wide) for megacosm experiments

The identification of a megacosm with real-time Lyapunov calculation allowed to isolate and track a phytoplankton community for several weeks (February-March 2009).

Data currently analyzed

The possibility of tracking fluid dynamical niches from surface velocities suggest the use of segregated water patches (\sim 100 km wide) for megacosm experiments

LOHAFEX An Indo-German ocean experiment to test the effect of iron fertilization on the ecology and carbon cycle in the Southern Ocean.

The identification of a megacosm with real-time Lyapunov calculation allowed to isolate and track a phytoplankton community for several weeks (February-March 2009).

Data currently analyzed

The possibility of tracking fluid dynamical niches from surface velocities suggest the use of isolated water patches (\sim 100 km wide) for megacosm experiments

Lyapunov maps soon distributed through CTOH (Toulouse)

- Historical dataset
- Real time on request

In collaboration with R. Morrow

Lot of tracer variability at the submesoscale: we need altimetry to understand how transport create/dissipate this variability

This issue is especially relevant for:

- **marine ecosystems** whose biotic processes resonate in time with (sub-)mesoscale temporal scales

- in situ biogeochemical surveys whose observations are affected by asynopticity

Current altimetry products shed some light on how advection can structure submesoscale tracer variability (niches) if Lagrangian tools are used with a precision of \sim 10s of km

High resolution altimetry may push this approach much further, in terms of spatial scales and in terms of precision

A possible issue to be addressed: temporal resolution

CONCLUSIONS - 2 SWOT and marine ecosystems

1. Understanding the repartition of marine biota in the oceans is instrumental to:

- understand how biodiversity reacts to environmental changes (natural and anthropic)
- decide conservation policies
- manage marine resources (fisheries)
- quantify the biogeochemical cycles (top-down controls, biogeochemical services)

2. Altimetry is a fundamental tool for understanding the structuring effect of ocean turbulence on the timescales of planktonic blooms

- provides spatial structure of the physical landscape ("niches")
- allows adaptive in situ sampling ("megacosm" experiments)

3. Some ecological issues that can be addressed only with SWOT

- Fluid dynamical niches:

How niches are organized below ~100 km? Do they exists? What are the merging/dissipation processes?

What is the dynamics of niches close to the coast? How are they connected to the open sea? What are they lifetimes?

- in situ adaptive sampling

Reduce time of initial surveys (currently a sustantial part of total ship time!) Improve success

XAMPLE

Whale positions on surface currents (top) and Lyapunov exponents (bottom)

RESULT

Whales prefer to forage along fronts induced by horizontal stirring

These are also the regions where commercial fishing activity is concentrated

Open question: how do whales detect fronts? "Chemo/info-taxis"? "Run and tumble?"

Robustness analysis

Lyapunov analysis robust to noise (random walk on particle trajectories equivalent to horizontal diffusivity of 0, 50, 200 m2/s resp.)

PARAMETERIZATION OF FILAMENTS INDUCED BY LATERAL STIRRING

PROBLEM and PARAMETERIZATION PRINCIPLE

We propose to model the subgrid filamentation as an anisotropic diffusion operator. The **direction** is given by the eigenvector of the deformation matrix. The diffusion intensity is such that diffusion equals stretching. Scale dependent diffusivity (L²). Currently working in diagnostic on NEMO (1/4 deg.)

Le Sommer, d'Ovidio, Madec, Oc. Mod., subm.

Diffusivity strongly variable in space

The anisotropic diffusivity is scale dependent (\sim L²). Tracer variance is decreased (numerically stable) For 1/4 deg. resolution has peak values up to 5000 m2/s, but the average value corresponds to typical eddy-permitting isotropic diffusivities of 400 m2/sec.

The diffusivity is strongly anisotropic.

Correlation with tracer gradients: heat flux due to anistropic diffusivity same order of magnitude as heat flux due to eddies

Use in prognostic under way.

CONCLUSIONS

Horizontal stirring generates submesoscale tracer variability from mesoscale velocities

recipe for kinematic front: mesoscale turbulence (spatial+temporal variability)
recipe for tracer front: mesoscale turbulence + large-scale gradient (+ tracer dynamics)

Process well captured by Lagrangian diagnostics (Lyapunov analysis)

- Subgrid filament detection from observations (front position, orientation, frontogenesis timescale)

- Parameterization for GCMs
- Adaptive in situ sampling

What are the large impacts of the submesoscale (stirring contribution)?

- precondition for submesoscale (3D) instabilities
- segregation/mixing of tracers

- structuring of marine ecosystems

Some challenges

- large margin on improvements for velocity fields (SWOT!)
- lack of synoptic, submesoscale tracer observations (more adaptive sampling needed)
- the big missing ecological player: zooplankton

Lyapunov maps soon distributed through CTOH (Toulouse)

- Historical dataset 1990-2010
- Real time on request

E. g.: Gulf of Mexico, yesterday

Importance of the submesoscale (for others than us):

1 not resolved by global circulation models and global observation networks

What processes are we neglecting in global budgets? (when/where?)

2 Resonant biophysical regime (same **temporal** scale than plankton blooms)

Relevant for regional issues (fisheries, conservation ecology) and for global ones (niches for biogeography)

Missing link between mesocosms and global biogeochemical budgets

What we know

- 1. Rich variety of physical phenomena, with potential biological interactions
- Horizontal redistribution (local and far field effects)
- Vertical
- 2. Impact strongly variable (e.g., submeso vertical velocities for PP;)

Conclusions

There is the need in geophysics for linking transport properties to biogeochemical processes.

LCS detection is a very robust technique, adapt to real and model data for extracting transport and mixing information.

Segregation and mixing are usually the same phenomenon, on different timescale.

OCEAN

These transport barriers enclose **fluid dynamical niches**, with lifetimes comparable to phytoplankton ecology processes

Fluid dynamical niches can be targeted by campaign studies, for performing **megacosm experiments**

ATMOSPHERE **Mixing** can be derived by LCS analysis if the geometrical information is exploited

Open theoretical issues (from a user perspective):

- Rigorous results on manifold detection and LEs
- Robustness analysis
- Relation with other diagnostics (e.g. effective diffusivity)