Updated Results from the In Situ Calibration Site in Bass Strait, Australia

Christopher Watson¹, Neil White^{2,}, John Church² Reed Burgette¹, Paul Tregoning ³, Richard Coleman⁴

- 1 University of Tasmania (cwatson@utas.edu.au)
- 2 Centre for Australian Weather and Climate Research, A partnership between CSIRO and the Australian Bureau of Meteorology
- 3 The Australian National University
- 4 The Institute of Marine and Antarctic Studies, UTAS



Integrated Marine Observing System









OSTM/Jason-2 OST Science Team Meeting

Updated Data Stream Presentation San Diego OSTST Meeting October 2011



Methods Recap

- We adopt a purely geometric technique for determination of absolute bias.
- The method is centred around the use of GPS buoys to define the datum of high precision ocean moorings.
- Outside of available mooring data, all available mooring SSH data are used to correct tide gauge SSH to the comparison point.



Instrumentation (Bass Strait):

Tide Gauge and CGPS

- Tide gauge part of the Australian baseline array, located in Burnie.
- Vertical velocity not significantly different from zero.
- CGPS time series shows a quasi-annual periodic signal (amplitude ~3-4 mm). Current investigations point towards this being dominated by a (spurious) GPS draconitic annual period (~351 days).





BUR 2 CGPS at tide gauge



RHPT Bedrock CGPS site (~5km)

Ocean Moorings & GPS Buoys



- Mooring 2008-09 shifted onto absolute datum using 8 GPS buoy deployments, each ~8 hr duration.
- Mooring 2011 shifted onto absolute datum using 2 ~50 hr buoy deployments.

Ocean Moorings & GPS Buoys



Tide gauge 1992 -

Ocean Moorings & GPS Buoys

comparable with altimeter



- Tidal difference between mooring and tide gauge is dominated by M2 (amp = 0.126 m, and N2 (amp = 0.030m).
- Non tidal differences are reduced by modelling the differential effect of the modelled air pressure between the mooring and tide gauge.
- The RMS of the final non tidal residual (mooring tide gauge) is ~22 mm.
- The corrected tide gauge dataset enables us to compute cycle by cycle estimates of absolute bias.

GPS Buoy vs Mooring SSH: Bass Strait



- 1 Hz GPS Buoy SSH time series is filtered prior to comparison with the mooring SSH (5 minute estimates).
- The residual time series (Buoy SSH Mooring SSH) shows a typical RMS of ~18-20 mm.
- Conservative estimates of independence every 3 hours, yields a standard error about the mean of ~ 3 mm.

8

Example 1 Hz GPS Buoy Data

- Our current evolution in GPS buoy enables extended deployment for up to 3 days.
- Deployed in pairs, tethered individually via horizontal floating tethers attached to surface floats, which are then anchored to the sea floor.
- Deployment typically limited to relatively calm conditions (good results in up to ~3.5 m peak to trough waves at Storm Bay).
- Swell signals are readily extracted from 1 Hz data at both sites.







1. TOPEX Side A and B, Jason-1 and OSTM/Jason-2

- All available cycles (OSTM/Jason-2 up to cycle 112)
- **TOPEX**: GSFC orbits, corrected TMR, Chambers et al SSB.
- > Jason-1: GSFC orbits, enhanced JMR.
- > **OSTM/Jason-2**: GSFC orbits, enhanced AMR.

Bass Strait Absolute Bias Record



11

Absolute Bias Periodic Energy



12

Bass Strait Absolute Bias Record



OSTM/Jason-2 Absolute Bias

2. OSTM/Jason-2

- Closer look at bias record cycles 001-112.
- Influence of T/GDR AMR vs enhanced AMR
- Influence of GSFC orbit vs GDR orbit

OSTM/Jason-2 Absolute Bias: Bass Strait



• Using the enhanced AMR product increases the bias by 3.1 mm (standard deviation of the difference time series is 4.1 mm).

OSTM/Jason-2 Absolute Bias: Bass Strait



 Using the T/GDR orbit over the GSFC orbit increases the bias by 2.1 mm (standard deviation of the difference 15.2 mm).

OSTM/Jason-2 Absolute Bias: Storm Bay



- Preliminary bias estimates from Storm Bay, using the only available mooring data, show a comparable bias to Bass Strait (c.f 169.7 mm)
- Detailed comparison requires additional mooring data, ongoing analysis and refinement of datum.

Conclusions

Data	Cycles	Ν	Mean Bias ± Std Error
TOPEX Side A (GSFC Orbits, corrected TMR, Chambers SSB)	001-235	195	- 8.1 ± 1.9 mm
TOPEX Side B (GSFC Orbits, corrected TMR, Chambers SSB)	236-365	114	+ 1.8 ± 2.9 mm
Jason-1 GDR-C (GSFC Orbits, enhanced JMR)	001-259	234	+105.1 ± 2.4 mm Decrease by ~10 mm if using GDR JMR
OSTM/Jason-2 T/GDR (GSFC Orbits, enhanced AMR)	001-112	98	+169.7 ± 3.6 mm Decrease by 3.1 mm if using GDR AMR Increase by 2.1 mm if using GDR orbits

Recall that non-time averaging systematic error contributions likely dictate that the "absolute" error is ~15 mm for these estimates.

18

Questions?

Christopher Watson¹, Neil White^{2,}, John Church² Reed Burgette¹, Paul Tregoning ³, Richard Coleman⁴

- 1 University of Tasmania (cwatson@utas.edu.au)
- 2 Centre for Australian Weather and Climate Research, A partnership between CSIRO and the Australian Bureau of Meteorology
- **3** The Australian National University
- 4 The Institute of Marine and Antarctic Studies, UTAS



Integrated Marine Observing System









OSTM/Jason-2 OST Science Team Meeting

Updated Data Stream Presentation San Diego OSTST Meeting October 2011

Spares...

Ocean Moorings & GPS Buoys

- We derive a precise SSH time series, directly at the altimeter comparison point using two deployments of moored oceanographic instruments (high accuracy pressure gauges, Seabird TS meters and current meters).
- Mooring deployments 2008-09 (1 year), 2011 (6 mths).
- Unfortunately, 6 months of mooring data following the previous OSTST was lost due to technical issues with SBE26 pressure gauges.
- The datum of each mooring-derived SSH series is determined using episodic GPS buoy deployments. (Datum shift = GPS SSH Mooring SSH)
- Outside the mooring deployment window, the tide gauge data can be tidally corrected to best fit the ensemble mooring series.



.....

GPS Buoy vs Mooring SSH: Storm Bay



- Slight improvement in the precision at Storm Bay due to improved GPS network geometry.
- Note increased water depth at this site (~101 m vs ~53 m at Bass Strait).