Retracking range, SWH, sigmanaught, and attitude in CryoSat conventional ocean data

W. H. F. Smith¹, R. Scharroo^{1,2} ¹NOAA Laboratory for Satellite Altimetry ²Altimetrics LLC



Special thanks to M. Fornari & R. Cullen



Laboratory for Satellite Altimetry NOAA•NESDIS•STAR

CryoSat-2 LRM Mode Products





 LRM (Low Rate Mode) = Operates as a conventional altimeter.

LRM Products:

- FDM (Fast Delivery Mode) = short latency, DORIS DIODE or predicted orbit, predicted meteo & ancillary data.
- "LRM" = Final version, precise orbit, analyzed meteo, etc. (final "GDR").

Level L1b = Has waveform and geophysical corrections, but no derived quantities (range, SWH, σ^0) \rightarrow no sea surface height, wind speed (U_{10}), wave height, backscatter, etc.

Level 2 = No waveform; has geophysical corrections and derived quantities.

We build all our results from L1b FDM and LRM waveform products, not Level 2.



Elliptical antenna pattern



Classical "Brown model" theory for the expected waveform shape assumes a circular antenna pattern.

CryoSat2's antenna pattern is slightly elliptical.

If we average CS2's beam width over all azimuths, then the azimuthally averaged half-power beam width is the harmonic mean of the major and minor elliptical HPBWs.

We retrack CS2's waveforms with a circular beam theory using the azimuthally averaged HPBW.

This is a good approximation for conventional LRM waveforms.

This would be wrong for SAR/SARIN waveforms.

Wingham & Wallace [2010] have developed the full theory for the elliptical antenna. Their paper supports our belief that the circular approximation is a good one in our case.





Retracker allows selection of any or all of these parameters to be fitted:

- 1) Epoch, *x*₀
- 2) Width, s
- 3) Amplitude, A
- 4) Mispointing, $\kappa(\xi^2)$, ξ is off-nadir angle
- 5) Noise level, N

Any of these can be free parameters to be fitted, while others are held fixed.

 $\kappa(\xi^2)$ can use 0th, 1st, or 2nd order approximation of Bessel function $I_0(z)$.

 $I_0(z) = 1$, [MacArthur]

 $I_0(z) = \exp(z^2/4)$, [Rodriguez]

 $I_0(z)$ = two terms in exp() [Amarouche et al.]

Example:

"MLE3" would be first 3 parameters, and 1st order approximation.

"MLE4" would be first 4 parameters, and 2nd order approximation.

"RED3" would be first 3 parameters, 1st order approximation, and fewer gates fitted.

Retracker search features



Retracker iterative search requires these steps:

- 1) Initialization (by given or default values)
- 2) Interative update using Modified Gauss-Newton steps
- 3) Stopping criteria for success and failure
- Initialization can supply "known" values (e.g., <u>off-nadir angle given from star trackers</u>, or along-track smoothed prior estimates, as in Sandwell & Smith two-pass method).
- Iteration is solved by QR decomposition of column-balanced Jacobian (MLE3&4 use QR with column pivoting).
- Stopping criteria for success/failure (in unweighted case) are MLE-like (A^2 -normalized change in Mean Quadratic Error < $5x10^{-4}$ for 3 iterations = success).

Example:

To behave as MLE3 or MLE4, initialize with default values and proceed as above.

Default initializations MLE-like



Initialization of search may default to these values:

- 1) Epoch, x_0 : set to normal track point.
- 2) Width, s: set equivalent to SWH = 2 m.
- 3) Amplitude, A: set to Max(waveform)
- 4) Mispointing, $\kappa(\xi^2)$, ξ is off-nadir angle: set to $\xi = 0$.
- 5) Noise level, N: set to average of first five gates used.

For CryoSat2, we fit the middle 104 of the 128 gates, as is done also for Jason. Laurent P. said in Coastal meeting we should make this 106 and 126, I think.

Our first attempt was to replicate MLE3 assuming $\xi = 0$.





swh (fdm1r) - subcycle 014 - 2011/04/19 - 2011/05/18







sla (fdm1r) - subcycle 014 - 2011/04/19 - 2011/05/18







 σ^0 is mainly given by a constant, *C*, (+/-?) AGC (is AGC an amplification or an attenuation?) (AGC or 62 – AGC ?). Retracking yields small (< 1 dB) corrections to apply to refine σ^0 . We had to guess the system constant, *C*, and get the sign right, in order that the histogram would not be inverted.





NOAA

Chasing down the asymmetry



We introduced the ascending / descending asymmetry in σ^0 either through the orbit height, or by neglecting to correct for mispointing, since we initially assumed $\xi = 0$.

So next we tried fitting the off-nadir angle as a free parameter (MLE4-like).

You will see that this fixes the asymmetry problem.







xi2 (fdm1r) - subcycle 014 - 2011/04/19 - 2011/05/18



But freedom in ξ has a price!



The 1-Hz averaged SSH is found by fitting a straight line to twenty values of 20-Hz (orbit – range). The standard deviation of the residuals around the line we call here σ_{SSH} . This number represents the random noise in range.

Range noise goes up, and is more dependent on SWH, with freedom in ξ .

CS2 σ_{SSH} from MLE4 (ξ = free)



2011 Ocean Surface Topography Science Team Meeting • San Diego • 19–21 October 2011

NOAA

for Satellite

J-1&J-2 σ_{SSH} from MLE4 NOAA , NOAA for Satellite sigssh (j1j2) - cycles 344/105 - 2011/05/04 - 2011/05/19 ~7 cm, corr w. SWH 90°N 100 ascending 60°N 80 30°N % of mode 60 0° 40 30°S 20



2011 Ocean Surface Topography Science Team Meeting • San Diego • 19–21 October 2011







2011 Ocean Surface Topography Science Team Meeting • San Diego • 19–21 October 2011

Solution: a priori ξ



We next tried using MLE3, holding ξ fixed to an *a priori* value determined from the platform attitude found from star trackers.

This data is given in the FDM and LRM L1b product.





xi2 (fdm1r) - subcycle 014 - 2011/04/19 - 2011/05/18



Solution CS2 ξ^2 from star trackers



xi2p (fdm1r) - subcycle 014 - 2011/04/19 - 2011/05/18



²⁰¹¹ Ocean Surface Topography Science Team Meeting • San Diego • 19–21 October 2011

Platform attitude biases



We fit a model for platform bias of the form

- $\xi^2 = (\text{pitch} \text{bias}_p)^2 + (\text{roll} \text{bias}_R)^2$.
- Assumptions: negligible thermal flexures; negligible biases between star trackers.
- We found we had to do this using the LRM L1b, not the FDM L1b, because of FDM orbit problems that noticeably affect pitch estimates.

We estimate:

Pitch bias: +0.0962 degrees.

Roll bias: +0.0848 degrees.





xi2 (lrm1r) - subcycle 014 - 2011/04/19 - 2011/05/18





xi2 (fdm1r) - subcycle 014 - 2011/04/19 - 2011/05/18



FDM orbit challenges



- The FDM uses a DORIS orbit if it exists when the pass segment is processed. If not, FDM uses a predicted orbit.
- The predicted orbit has height errors of meters but is interpolated at the correct time.
- The DORIS orbit has accurate enough (for NRT) height, but is interpolated at the wrong time; delta_UT1 should be ~0.266 sec but is set to 0.
- This huge timing bias causes an apparent pitch of (360°/6000s)x0.266s = 0.016°.

Conclusions



We are producing SWH, σ_0 , U_{10} , and SSH by retracking FDM and LRM L1b waveforms.

Our product compares well with J1, J2, E, though there are *ad hoc* values that could be tuned.

Range precision of CS2 appears superior to J1&2 when both are retracked with MLE4.

With a good orbit 1 to 3 days behind real time we could make an I-GDR.

Our product depends only on the L1b data at ESRIN ftp; we are not proposing upstream changes.