

Status of Precise Orbit Determination for Jason-2 using GPS

S A Melachroinos (2), F G Lemoine (1), N P Zelensky (2), D D Rowlands (1), S B Luthcke (1), S M Klosko (2), J Dimarzio (2), D E Pavlis (2), O Bordyugov (2)

(1) Planetary Geodynamics Branch, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt USA, (2) SGT-Inc., Greenbelt, Maryland, USA,



1. Abstract

San Diego CA

The JASON-2 satellite, launched in June 2008, is the latest follow-on to the successful TOPEX/Posedor (TP) and JASON-1 alteretry mission. JASON-2 is equipped with a TRSR Blocklack CIPS Galdrequency receiver, a laser retroeffector array, and a DORE TRSR Blocklack CIPS Galdrequency receiver, a laser retroeffector array, and a DORE the most recent line series of orbits computed at NASK GSPC, based on SLRDORES data have been completed unsign to the TRF2005 and TRF2005 reduced-symanic othes and in comparison with orbits produced by other analysis centers (Lennoire et al., 2010; Jachessiy et al., 2010; Cent et al., 2010; We have recently upgraded the GEODYN orbits and in comparison with orbits produced by other analysis centers (Lennoire et al., 2010; analysis of independent SLR and alternot provements. Our GPS-only JASON-2 othel accuracy is assessed using a number of tests including implementation of LOS standards to the Jason2 (JECONN GPS processing, and other to othis generated externally at other centers. Tests based on SLR and the alterneter to othis generated externally at other centers. Tests based on SLR and the alterneter to othis generated externally at other centers. Tests based or SLR and the alterneter to othis generated externally at other centers. Tests based or SLR and the alterneter to othis generated externally at other centers in particular ther consistency melaming force model error and TRF instaality. We evaluate the GPS vis SLR ADOREs testaating force model error and TRF instaatily. We evaluate the GPS vis SLR ADOREs establishy and the stability of the atimeter satellite reference frame in the 2 direction for tode altimeters usatellite PCO

ETTRENCISE Whi S.C. Band J.P. Downanc, G.J. (1990). A reduced dynamic technique for precise orbit handse St. W.P. Starburgers very 4-2-00 Landse St. W.P. Starburgers very 4-2-00 Landse St. W.P. Starburger, S.D. Bordman, F.G. Lannine, T. Williams (2003). The 1-Continuer Other Lansel Processing Orbit Determination Using GFS, S.K. DORS, and Almuter Dau, Mar Gooders, S.G. Starburger, J. Starburger, P. Willis, BD. Beckler, S.M. Starburger, D. Will, M. Starburger, S. Starburger, S. Willis, BD. Beckler, S.M. Starburger, P. Will, M. Starburger, S. Starburger, S. Willis, BD. Beckler, S.M. Starburger, P. Will, M. Starburger, S. Starburger, S. Wall, S. B. Beckler, S.M. Starburger, S. Starb

improvements for Janow J. and Acome J. Adv. in Space Res., Not. 99, 11800 et al., p. 10-seria del 101016/j.scz.2003.05.008
Lenwise FG, NP Zehraky, D. SChem, D.P. Porlo, D.D. Rowlands, D.D. Beckele, S.B. Latheke, J. Willin, M. Zohen, X. Shahmar, P. Broy, V. Saner (2010). Formation development of a constinuat only Milling, M. Zohen, X. Shahmar, P. Broy, V. Saner (2010). Formation development of a constinuation of the strength of Strength, M. Zahen, V. Dialow, S. S. D. Saner, S. H. Haines, FG. Lamosher, F. Marcinez, K. Becker, P. Wills, N. M. Strength, W. L. Saner, S. L. San, 2017. Sub-continuence Previous for the Janese Netra o Aliameter Milling, and M. Saner, S. A. L. 2017. Sub-continuence Previous for the Janese Netra of Strength of the Strength of Milling and Strength of the Astronomburg, Emporter W. Donal S. D. Davery, A. Hainer, B. J. 2011, Oversaming GPS of the Strength of the Stren

N:11.1010/arr.2002.02.113 Carvalho R., Bertiger W., Desai S D, Dorsey A, Haines B J, 2011, Overcoming GPS ality degradation for OSTM. JPL technical memo





Fig.7: 3D_j2_jpl_gpsr

es_ldg_gdri Fig.8: Jason-Z bias per cycle wrt jpl11a (GPS-

NASA / GSFC Code 698

Phone: 301-614-6109 email: Frank.G.Lemoir

Fig.6: 3D i2 ipl



2. GPS strategy

- 38 IGS05 and IGS08 station:
- Tracking data : DD LC iono-free tracking data GPS PCOs and PCVs : igs05.atx and igs08_1604_woGLO_final
- □ IGS05 and IGS08 (w station corrections) TRE
- 1/hr scale(wet+dry) troposphere (GMF/GPT-hopfield) s1
- Float ambiguities
- J2 JPL GPS antenna PCV map

80000 6000

□ 12 revised 1.C. GPS antenna PCO, values

Fig.3: Ja2 SLR residual

2009.5

ate i2 ipl gpsr rise11a-gps :

Fig. 11: Irate j2 jpl gpsr rlse11a-std1007-3sig

Fig. 13: Irate j2 jpl gpsr rlse11a-esoc gds v3

-18 -10 -48 48 48 18 18 28 24 38 34

05 s1-3si

gsfc_gpard00 esoc_gds_v3

- Solutions S1 : troposphere is adjusted /1 hr using 2 paths (1 station + 2 GPS s/c) during the POD □ Solutions S2 : troposphere is adjusted /1 hr using 4 paths (2 stations + 2 GPS s/c) in a ground network soluti

Fig. 1 : OSTM LC phase residuals



The dense and highly precise Jason-2 GPS tracking provides significant improvement for POD capability. We base our POD strategy on the concept of a reduced-dynamic (RD) solution. An RD solution is based on the denser geometrically stronger GPS tracking data rather than the force model accuracy (Wu et al. 1990, Luthcke et al. 2003). In our GEODYN RD implementation once perrev (OPR) along & cross-track accelerations are estimated every 30 min with sigma=1.e-09 and correlation time of 1hr.

3.1 GPS data Performance

Our main objective is to compare the GPS system performance to that of the SLR/DORIS -- in terms of POD performance -- and to compare the consistency of the reference frames as evaluated within one software package with a consistent dynamical strategy. Secondarily and since our POD strategy is primarily based on the density of the GPS tracking, we are interesting in monitoring GPS system performance through time (deCarvalho et al. 2011).

Table 1 : J2 orbit performance summary cycles 3-14										
Cy 03 – 74	Mean points			Mean RMS residuals			RMS Orbit difference to Jpl11a			
	doris	slr	xover	DORIS (mm/s)	SLR (cm)	Xover (cm)	Radial (mm)	Tx (mm)	Ty (mm)	Tz (mm)
gsfc_gpsrd0905_s1	147624	4011	5072	0.3706	1.397	5.404	7.5	2.1	-3.5	0.7
gsfc_gpsrd0905_s2	147624	4011	5072	0.3707	1.440	5.418	7.9	1.9	-3.4	0.3
std1007_cr (dyn)	147624	4011	5072	0.3704	1.119	5.434	8.8	1.4	-3.4	2.2
std1110 (dyn)	147624	4011	5072	0.3704	1.093	5.419	8.6	2.3	-0.3	3.0
red_std1110	147624	4011	5072	0.3697	1.018	5.384	6.7	1.9	-1.7	2.4
cnes_ldg_gdrc	147624	4011	5072	0.3706	1.130	5.478	9.0	2.1	-3.5	6.6
cnes_ldg_gdrd	147624	4011	5072	0.3703	1.101	5.442	7.1	2.2	1.2	1.8
esoc_gds_v3 (esa)	147624	4011	5072	0.3702	1.367	5.390	6.4	4.3	-0.3	1.5
jpl_gpsr_rlse11a	147624	4011	5072	0.3701	1.105	5.337	-	-	-	-
* Independent SLR data										

Looking at Fig.1 we observe that our daily post-fit iono-free phase residuals start to increase noticeably after the second half of 2009 and towards the end of the same year. The total increase is around 1 mm and it seems to have a positive trend with time. Probably the current degradation is related to the same cause observed by JPL (deCarvalho et al. 2011) related to a combination of an increase in the tracking data over the SAA and undetected half-integer cycle slips occurring simultaneously on L1 and L2.

3.2 GPS POD Performance

As indicated by the independent crossover residuals the gsfc gpsrd0905 s1, red std1110, esoc gds v3 and jpl gpsr rlse11a orbits show the greater accuracy over cycles 03-74 (Table 1). All orbits compare to the submm level. The lowest crossover residuals come from jpl_gpsr_rlse11a orbits with 5.337 cm. Our gsfc_gpsrd0905_s1 orbits under-preform by 0.7 mm higher.

In terms of radial orbit error budget (systematic and random contributors to the 1-cm radial error) the gsfc_gpsrd0905_s1 orbits compare 7.5 mm (Table 1, Fig. 2) to the jpl_gpsr_rlse10a in the satellite frame.

All orbits compare within 1 cm radially. The best inter-technique agreement in the orbit frame comes from GPS-only orbits.

The SLR fit residuals (Table 1, Fig. 3) are not an independent metric for the orbits that use SLR. Independent high elevation SLR ranges are the only independent test to demonstrate the radial orbit accuracy. At the present case the gsfc_gpsrd0905_s1 orbits perform at 1.397 cm whereas the jpl_gpsr_rlse11a perform at 1.105 cm.

3.2.1 Spectral analysis (Fig. 4 - 7)

The Jason-2 dynamic orbits show that the 118-day signal is dominant. This is the precise draconic (beta-prime) period for the Jason satellites and suggests orbit error due to SRP mis-modeling. The analysis between the SLR-DORIS dynamic orbit and the gsfc_gpsrd0905_s1 reduced-dynamic orbits shows that the gps reduced-dynamic removes much of the SRP error present in the SLR+DORIS solutions.

Smaller amplitude signals are observed in the differences between the reduced-dynamic orbits from the various analysis centers. Those appear at 118-d and 360-d terms. One case presents special interest : the differences between the jpl gpsr rlse11a and esoc gds v3 orbits where the most significant peak appears at the 59-d term of an amplitude of 3.5 mm.

3.2.2 Relative centering (Fig. 8)

One can also look at the relative centering of the ITRF-based orbits obtained. In this case we tested all orbits with respect to the jpl_gpsr_rlse11a. All orbits that contain SLR are positively biased with respect to the JPL orbits except for the gsfc_gpsrd0905_s1 GPS-only orbits. Also the drifts presented are of the order of 1 mm/y.

Fig. 9 - 12 demonstrate the radial orbit rates with the annual and semiannual terms removed. A N/S component is observed between the JPL and the SLR/DORIS and GDR-C orbits. The JPL vs GSFC GPS-only and ESOC comparison shows an E/W component.

3.3 Future work

Process the rest of the J2 GPS cycles with the current std0905 and release the 1st series of GSFC GPS-only orbits. Implement the editing of the Jason-2 GPS data to detect the half-cycle slips Implement the ambiguity fixing



W 1W -1W Fig. 10 : Irate_j2_jpl_gpsr_rlse11a-cnes_ldg_g



Fig. 12: lrate_j2_jpl_gpsr_rlse11a-cnes_ldg_gd

-10 -05 00 05 10 15 20 25 30 35