Finer, Better, Closer: Advanced capabilities of SAR altimetry in the open ocean and the coastal zone Christine Gommenginger¹, Paolo Cipollini¹, David Cotton², Salvatore Dinardo³ & Jérôme Benveniste³

¹National Oceanography Centre, UK, ²Satellite Oceanographic Consultants Ltd, UK, ³ESA-ESRIN

Motivation

- Cryosat-2 SIRAL => first SAR altimeter data from space
- SAR mode mainly over sea ice
- Also over some ocean & coastal regions



Assess capabilities of SAR altimetry over ocean & coastal zone, in anticipation of SAR altimeters mode on GMES Sentinel-3 & on Jason-CS





LRM & SAR mode





Oceanography Centre

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL



Why using correct terminology is essential...



The SAMOSA3 SAR waveform model

- Developed from physical principles by Starlab (Barcelona)
- SAM3: simple analytical formula, robust and fast to compute
- Computes 2D Delay Doppler Maps
- Function of epoch (range) significant wave height, Sigma0, roll and pitch mispointing angles
- Apply Doppler beam-forming and multi-looking to obtain delayonly SAR altimeter waveforms





SSH noise in SAR & LRM

- Cryosat-2 LRM and SAR mutually exclusive
- Compare Cryosat-2 SAR against Jason-2 (pulse-limited)
 Very few temporal collocations between the two satellites
- Select and retrack Cryosat-2 L1B 20Hz SAR waveforms with SAM3 in given area
 - e.g. Norwegian Sea
- Compute retrieval accuracy for SSH and SWH and plot against retrieved SWH
- Do the same for Jason-2
 - Based on L2 AVISO products



Norwegian Sea: SSH noise (July 2010-Feb 2011)



Cryosat-2 SAR L1B products evolving in time !

CRYOSAT2 SAR L1B: 20100715T133310



Norwegian Sea: SSH noise (July 2010–June 2012)



SAR versus Jason-2: CONFIRMED

Norwegian Sea: SSH noise (July 2010-June 2012)

NorwSea Mean stdeviation of 20Hz SSH over 6 seconds



SAR significant wave height Validation against wave buoys



Open ocean wave buoys from UK Met Office for in situ wave height data

C2 SAR data and buoy data collocated within 50km and 30 minutes

July 2010 - May 2011



Oceanography Centre



Cryosat-2 SAR Hs against wave buoys

- Good correspondence between SAR and buoy Hs over wide range of sea states
- Hs bias due to mispointing[§]/₄
 - No bias when using platform mispointing (adjusted with R. Scharroo correction) as input to SAR retracker

Must account for roll mispointing or risk introducing biases in Hs (and probably also SSH)



Cryosat-2 SAR L1B WF in different SAR L1B 20Hz WF in 6 sec Sec

"6-sec average" waveform



Cryosat-2 SAR v SAM3 ML model

"6-sec average" waveform SAM3 ML model waveform for Buoy Hs (<u>NOT FITTED!</u>)





NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

Performance in coastal zone?



esa

MENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

Jason-2 SSH 20Hz Cryosat-2 SAR SSH 20Hz

Data SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO Image © 2012 TerraMetrics

Example #1...

15.8 k

<u>4 km</u>

Brownsea Island

Cryosat-2 SAR SSH 2

Data SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO © 2012 Infoterna Ltd & Bluesky Image © 2012 Terra Metrics

Example #2

2 km



Opportunities for validation



Summary

- SAMOSA3 is a fully-analytical, robust and computationally fast model able to simulate SAR altimeter waveforms over water (without tuning!)
- SAM3 was validated against Cryosat-2 SAR L1B waveforms
 - SAM3 has been proposed for Sentinel-3 operational SAR retracker
 - Papers in prep.
- Improvement in SSH noise with Cryosat-2 SAR L1B by factor of 1.5 compared to Jason-2
 - SAR SSH 1Hz noise now < 1 cm in enclosed sea (no swell)
- Cryosat-2 SAR Hs compares well with collocated buoy Hs as long as mispointing is accounted for
- SAR shows excellent performance near land, with no data loss and smoothly varying SSH right up to/from the coast



Oceanography Centre NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL



Outstanding issues & challenges

- A lot of work ahead
 - Time for increased cooperation between scientists and inter-agencies
- Effect of long waves on SSH ?
 - Evidence that long waves increase noise on SAR SSH
 - Planned analyses of SSH noise near directional wave buoys
 - <u>BIAS</u> in SAR SSH due to long waves and \neq wave direction ?
 - SAR SSB ?
- Why do we see an improvement by "a factor of 1.5" and not by "a factor of 2" as theory/others predict ?
 - Many possible reasons including:
 - Unaccounted noise and/or bugs in the Cryosat-2 L1B data & products
 - Imperfect model
 - Different beam-forming and multi-looking in data and model
- LRM/SAR transitions ?
 - Biases and noise for LRM, SAR and pseudo-LRM at transitions ?



Oceanography Centre







Sticking my oar in...

Let's use clear terminology

- Pseudo-LRM is not "SAR" (and it is not "LRM" either) !
- Double-pass LRM is not "LRM": SSH is less noisy because 1 less parameter is retrieved but spatial resolution is pulse-limited and information on Hs changes at short scales is lost

Future SAR altimeter missions

- Access to waveforms (coastal altimetry & other applications)
 - Should be the default position for all modes (e.g. Sentinel-3 STM products)
 - For SAR, include stack and provide access to FBR/L0 (advanced users)
- Sentinel-3 STM mode mask
 - SAR over the whole ocean ? What about SAR over inland waters?
- Jason-CS
 - Lobby your national representatives to support Jason-CS ! (We have!)
 - Interleaved mode: the only way forward to solve the LRM/SAR issue, but at what cost ?



Oceanography Centre NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL



Thank you



National Oceanography Centre

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

