

AZUC

LEGOS







M. Cancet<sup>1</sup>, E. Jeansou<sup>1</sup>, P. Bonnefond<sup>2</sup>, O. Laurain<sup>2</sup>, F. Lyard<sup>3</sup>, P. Femenias<sup>4</sup>, E. Bronner<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NOVELTIS, Toulouse, France - <sup>2</sup>OCA/GEOAZUR, Grasse, France - <sup>3</sup>OMP/CNRS/LEGOS, Toulouse, France - <sup>4</sup>ESA/ESRIN, Frascati, Italy - <sup>5</sup>CNES, Toulouse, France

Corresponding author: mathilde.cancet@noveltis.fr

# CONTEXT

The *in situ* calibration allows insuring regular and long-term control of altimeter sea surface height (SSH) time series with independent records. Usually, *in situ* calibration of altimeter SSH is performed at the vertical of a specific CalVal site by direct comparison of the altimeter data with the *in situ* data. In the framework of CNES and ESA oceanographic projects, the OCA established the Senetosa and Ajaccio calibration sites in Corsica, respectively in 1998 and 2005. Both sites are equipped with tide gauge instruments. The Senetosa site is dedicated to the absolute calibration of the Topex/Jason nominal orbits, whereas the Ajaccio site was used for the Envisat mission up to its orbit change in October 2010.

At the same time, NOVELTIS developed a regional CalVal technique, which aimed at increasing the number and the repeatability of the altimeter bias assessments by determining the bias both on overflying passes and on satellite passes located far away from the calibration site. The strong interest of this principle is to extend the single site approach to a wider regional scale. It is also a mean to keep on calibrating a mission when good-quality *in situ* data happens to be missing at its dedicated calibration site. The method was used to compute the biases of the Jason-1 and Jason-2 missions in Senetosa, as well as the Envisat mission bias in Ajaccio, before its orbit change.

In order to evaluate the stability and generality of the method, an exercise of cross-calibration was also carried out where the biases of both Envisat and Jason-2 missions were quantified at the two Corsican calibration sites. All these experiments show the robustness and the adaptability of the regional calibration method, and consequently its high advantage for monitoring missions on new orbits such as Envisat before its loss, CRYOSAT-2, HY-2A, Jason-1 end-of-life or the future Sentinel-3 mission.

# The regional CALVAL method: combining absolute and offshore in situ validation techniques

Absolute CALVAL: Direct comparison between the altimeter SSH and the tide gauge measurements (point C on *Figure1*).

- $\checkmark$  Only for satellite passes flying over the calibration sites.
- ✓ Comparable to the bias estimations at the other calibration sites (Harvest, Bass Strait, Gavdos...)

## **Offshore CALVAL:** Computation of the bias on offshore passes

- ✓ Following a succession of accurate mean sea surface profiles, combining several missions
- ✓ Using a high resolution mean surface to link the *in situ* and altimetry SSH

## **Possible ways of improvement:**

- ✓ Good-quality SSH data (altimetry / *in situ* measurements)
- ✓ Accurate mean sea surface profiles
- ✓ High resolution *in situ* mean surface
- Ocean dynamics corrections: ocean tide and atmospheric effects between the offshore passes and the coast



Figure 1: Generic diagram of the regional in situ calibration method

#### **Generic method:**

### → Calibration of missions on new orbits

The regional CALVAL method can be used to compute the bias:

- ✓ of missions right after an orbit change (ex: interleaved Jason-1, Envisat since October 2010)
- $\checkmark$  for orbits without dedicated calibration sites (ex: Sentinel-3).

## → Calibration of non-repetitive orbits

It can be as well adapted to estimate the bias for missions on quasi nonrepetitive or shifting orbits (ex: Cryosat), at various calibration sites.

Applicable to others sites: Harvest Platform, Bass Strait, Gavdos...

$bias_{alti,tr3}(t) =$	$= (SSH_{B,tr3}^{alti}(t) - dyn_{B,tr3}(t))$	$(t)) - (SSH_{TC}^{g})$	$G_{G,tr1}^{auge}(t) - dyn$	$_{TG,tr1}(t))$
$+(\overline{SSH}_{TG,tr1}^{insitu})$	$-\overline{SSH}_{C,tr1}^{insitu}) + (\overline{SSH}_{C,tr1}^{alti})$	$-\overline{SSH}^{alti}_{A,tr1}$ ) +	$-(\overline{SSH}^{alti}_{A,tr2} -$	$-\overline{SSH}^{alti}_{B,tr2}$ )



- 4 tide gauges (2 couples of twin instruments), since 1998
- →Redundancy to avoid gaps in the bias series

## Ajaccio:

- 1 tide gauge (Sept. 2000 to Feb. 2011)
- 1.5-year of bad quality data (March 2008 to Sept. 2009)
- $\rightarrow$  No absolute CALVAL for Envisat from cycles 66 to 82

## **Ocean dynamics correction**

DAC: regional TUGO simulation (LEGOS)Ocean tide: regional tidal atlas (COMAPI, CNES project)





#### In Senetosa, for the three missions

Very coherent results, in agreement with the estimates of the other groups → Jason-1/2 in Senetosa, Harvest, Gavdos and Bass Strait, Envisat in Ajaccio

## For both sites and Jason-2 and Envisat missions

More homogeneous results when applying the tide correction (lower variability in the bias estimates)
Coherent results for Envisat on both sites without the tide correction

## In Ajaccio, for both missions (Jason-2 & Envisat)

Increase of about 1.5cm in the bias when using the ocean dynamics correction (2cm with a harmonic analysis applied to the tide gauge time series, due to bad quality data) → Still under investigation

This exercise demonstrates the capacity of the regional CALVAL method developed by NOVELTIS to quantify any mission's bias, at any calibration site. Finally, by multiplying the number of estimates, this method reduces the noise in the mission bias quantification.

→ Cancet et al., 2012, published in ASR, special issue on Altimetry Calibration

	( vo (								
	Jason-	1 bias in Senetosa	No ocean dynamics correction			With ocean dynamics correction			
5		GDR-C	Mean bias	Std (om)	Number	Mean bias	Std (om)	Number	
<b>.</b>	Cy	/cles 1 to 259	(cm)	Sta (cm)	of cycles	(cm)	Sta (cm)	of cycles	
5	Nominal orbit								
	Track 085 (absolute method)		9.4 ± 0.2	3.4	247	$8.9\pm0.2$	3.3	247	
1	Regional bias		8.7 ± 0.2	3.2	240	$\textbf{8.2}\pm\textbf{0.2}$	3.1	240	
	OCA absolute bias (track 085)		$9.6\pm0.3$	3.5	165				
	Interleaved or	bit							
	Pagional biog	Jason-2 mean profiles	8.1 ± 0.4	3.6	64	$7.2\pm0.4$	3.5	64	
	REGIONAL DIAS	1 (1)		0 5	00	7.4 0.4	0.1		

Jason-2 bias	No ocear	No ocean dynamics correction			With ocean dynamics correction			
GDR-C Cycles 1 to 93	Mean bias (cm)	Std (cm)	Number of cycles	Mean bias (cm)	Std (cm)	Number of cycles		
In Senetosa								
Track 085 (absolute method)	17.0 ± 0.3	3.3	92	$17.0\pm0.4$	3.5	92		
Regional bias	16.7 ± 0.3	3.2	91	$16.4\pm0.3$	3.2	91		
OCA absolute bias (track 085)	$17.6\pm0.4$	3.3	58					
In Ajaccio								
Desite and the	10 1 0 1	0.5	10	40.4 0.4		10		

P<sub>429/674</sub> P<sub>674/429</sub>

Regional blas

 $18.4 \pm 0.4 \qquad 2.5 \qquad 49 \qquad 19.1 \pm 0.4$ 

2.8 49

## PERSPECTIVES 2012+



nominal (light blue) and shifted (yellow)

orbits near the Corsican calibration site.

Calibration of new orbits

**Figure 6:** Theoretical ground-tracks of the Jason-2 mission (pink) and the Envisat nominal (light blue) and shifted (yellow) orbits near the Harvest calibration site.



The regional CALVAL method can be used to compute the bias of missions right after an orbit change (ex: Envisat since October 2010).

- → Future work (2012-2013):
- Calibration of Envisat shifted orbit in Corsica
- Calibration of Envisat nominal and shifted orbits in Harvest
  - $\rightarrow$  Different ocean dynamics conditions
  - $\rightarrow$  More local points of comparison with the global CALVAL

## **Calibration of non-repetitive orbits**

It can as well be adapted to estimate the bias for missions on non-repetitive orbits (ex: Cryosat-2), at various calibration sites.

This efficient method should consequently be considered for the calibration of recent and future missions such as AltiKa, Cryosat-2, Sentinel-3, Jason-3, Jason-CS...



**Figure 7:** Diagram of the regional CALVAL method adapted to a non-repetitive orbit

These studies were carried out in the frame of a SALP project supported by CNES, the FOAM project supported by the OSTST and the CORSAIR project funded by ESA. The ENVISAT data used in this study were developed, validated, and distributed by the CTOH/LEGOS, France. The Jason-1 and Jason-2 GDR products were produced and provided by the CNES. The Ajaccio tide gauge dataset was provided by REFMAR.