

Performance assessment

assessment enables Performance to characterize data coverage and availability. It allows to compute mission error budget at different scales and concerning different applications.

It is possible thanks to different loops and comparisons including multimissions and comparison with external data such as models or in situ data.

For Envisat mission, this work allowed to identify errors and to correct them thanks to expert support.

ENVISAT ocean altimetry performance assessment

End of life and overview of a successful mission

A. Ollivier¹, M. Guibbaud¹, N.Picot², P. Féménias³

¹*CLS, Space Oceanography Division, Toulouse, France* ²CNES, Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales, Toulouse, France ³ ESA, European Space Agency, Frascati, Italy

Coverage and sampling

On ocean, very good availabilty > 80% over the mission and >95% after a data dissimination improvement in 2008.

Thanks to its tracker mode, Envisat is one on the best current altimeter in terms of signal tracking on transition zones (coasts, ice, land...)



10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95

Example of unexpected anomaly managed

In 2006, Envisat encountered a serious event that could have degraded dramatically the data at all scales (problem in the Ultra Stable Oscillator clock). The problem was rapidly detected and the reprocessed dataset was corrected by the performance assessment team.

Wavenumber (cpkm

avenumber_spectrum (m2.k

Back to **NOMINAL QUALITY** on Sea **UNUSABLE:** Envisat Sea Level Level affected by the USO anomaly processing pattern



to

dedicated

thanks



Different scales for Envisat performance analysis

350° n° Envisat Cycles -20 -10 0 10 20 SLA (cm) DUACS SLA Power Spectrum High frequecy content Vavelength (km) Envisat is a good candidate for high frequency content analysis and short scales observation. 2 cm noise at 1Hz (7km) and 9cm at 20Hz (350m) Here, an example of investigation performed to analyze the 10^{-2} sensitivity of the 70km bump to the waveform apparent Sampling noise level (si and linear fit (ax+b) **Regional studies** mispointing in 2008. 5 years later, Crosat2 (and its SAR mode) Jason-1 (low absolute misp. Envisat (low absolute misp. and AltiKa (and its Ka band) comparison help us to understand lason-1 (No selection) more about the bump problematic (CNES spectral studies in the Envisat (No selection) frame of C2 SAR, Dibarboure et al.) 100

Mesoscale content

Computing the variance of sea level at crossovers allows to better estimate the asc./dsc. data consistency under 10 days time scale. This estimation is below 6cm² for Envisat with open ocean selection, equivalent to the other precise altimetric missions.

For multimisison merging these scales are complementary to the other missions and enable to better characterize eddies, for example:



Comparisons with models are also performed. Here is quantified the gain of embarquing a radiometer on board instead of using an external model (here ERA-Interim): around 1cm² with v2.1 processing and 2,2cm² for the future version



Multimission comparison enabled to evidence geographic errors due to the³ gravity field modeling in Orbit determination.

Because its lower altitude, the impact of gravity field was 3 time higher than on J1. This could also be demonstrated thanks to in-situ Argo Profiles. After **news** inputs and fruitful exchanges with POD experts, all missions could benefit from this improvement

GDR Before Reproc

GDR After Reproc

The Envisat historical time series is dedicated to evolve again, learning from future missions studies. As a consequence, dataset needs to be maintained up to date. Regular reprocessings allow to improve the global quality of data, enabling Envisat to be an external reference for other missions. Cross calibration of Jason-1 and 2 with another precise mission as Envisat is useful to improve and characterize the global quality of altimetric system.

Envisat performance assessment constitutes and will remain a reference for future altimetric missions, at different scales.

