New characterization of radiometer wet troposphere correction errors thanks to the ERA-interim reanalysis and AMSR products

S. Thao (CLS), M. Ablain (CLS), JF Legeais (CLS), B. Picard (CLS), E. Obligis (CLS), L. Eymard (LOCEAN), A. Guillot (CNES), J. Benveniste (ESA), P. Féménias (ESA)

Overview	FRA interim is the best WTC model for the altime
The Wet Tropospheric Correction (WTC) :	sea level at short temporal and climate scales.
e of the main contributors to the uncertainties in long-term sea level Id derived from altimetry.	Shart temporal scales of the altimeter sea level SSH variance differences at TP/J1/J2 crossovers
ovided by onboard microwave radiometers for the main altimeter sions due to high variability of water vapor in time and space.	using different WTC : ERA -NCEP ERA -ECWMF ERA -RA
What about WTC models ?	S 1 TELLO 10.4 interimbed/or particular S 0 100520000000000000000000000000000000000
e only as a backup correction because of their poor temporal and spatial lution.	
t very useful as reference in analyses of radiometer WTC errors.	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Objective :	•FRA-Interim variance is by far smaller than the NCFP one: around 5cm ²
assess the quality, for climatic studies, of WTC from the ERA interim ospheric model reanalyzes provided by European Centre for Medium- e Weather Forecast (ECMWF) with respect to :	difference for the altimetry era *ERA-Interim was much better in terms of variance that ECWMF at the beginning of the period (4cm2) but variance difference has regularly
ECMWF operational fields.	decreased and is taday negligible
Reanalyzes from National Centers for Environmental Predictions /	 Radiameters (Tapex, then Jasan-1 and Jasan-2) still provide a much sm variance than FRA-Interim (2cm2 difference)
ational Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR).	The long-term stability of the altimeter sea level Global Mean Sea level
Radiometers : TOPEX/Jason1/Jason-2, ERS-1/ERS-2/ENVISAT, MSR-E	Global mean sea differences between models and TOPEX/JASON-1/JASON-2
***************************************	ERA -RAD NCEP -RAD J1 -RA
Conclusion :	10077P (Rk.Hotelm Budowster TORK/Norden Juan 1 Juan 2 1 1078/Norden Juan 1 Juan 2 2 1078/Norden Juan 1 Juan 2 2 1078/Norden Juan 1 Juan 2 2 2 2 1078/Norden Juan 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
RA-Interim is probably the best model to allow the identification of s such as drift in the radiometers : from ERA-Interim have been processed homogenously and its rmances are stable with respect to the other WTC products -Interim is the closest, among the studied models, to radiometers in	Provide the second seco
of WTC dynamic.	•ERA-Interim and NCEP are relatively stable compared to the TOPEX/JI series
ver, notable discrepancies between radiometers and ERA-Interim are present, especially in wet atmosphere. Such differences could create acts when comparative analyses are made with ERA-Interim to detect meter errors.	 Jumps are present in the global mean differences due to regular update the operational ECWMF model
	Regional Mean Seal Level Regional mean sea level trend differences between models a
	TOPEX/Jason-1/JASON-2
A good reference for altimetry missions ? ter vapor (WV) main component of WTC: 1g/cm2(wv)~-6.4cm(WTC) ter vapor (WV) geographic distribution.	TOPEX Jason-1 (1993-2002) (1993-2002)
barison of average water vapor maps (2004-2010) between ERA- interim and radiometers	ERA
ERA-Jason-1 ERA-ENVISAT ERA-AMSRE	Conserting of the sector of th
	ECMWF
RA-AMSRE)×WV (ERA-AMSRE)×WV (ERA-AMSRE)×WV	And the second s
erestimation of WV by ERA-Interim with respect to radiometers,	
erestimation of WV by ERA-Interim with respect to radiometers, ecially in low latitudes. screpancies with the radiometers are related to WV: the higher WV he stronger are the differences RA-interim behavior in very wet atmosphere differs from the	•ECMWF is inconsistent with TOPEX (poor quality of the model for period). Better consistency is achieved during Jason-1 period when operational model is more accurate
ometer behaviors.	•NCEP show high regional discrepancies (>3.0/mm/an) in trends at low ar latitudes with TOPEX and Jason-1.
ce, WV and W1C are highly correlated those differences are probably present in WTC.	• The smallest differences are obtained with ERA-Interim, especially i first decade but regional discrepancies are still present

(*) references : • Legeais J.-F, and M. Ablain, 2013 :New characterization of radiometer wet troposphere correction errors for the altimeter sea level estimations thanks to the ERA-interim reanalysis. Manuscript submitted for publication. • Thao S. et al, Trend and Variability of the Atmospheric Water Vapor: a Mean Sea Level Issue. Manuscript submitted for publication.



Collecte Localisation Satellites 8-10 rue Hermes 31520 Ramonville Saint-Agne - France

OSTST 8-11 October 2013 | Boulders, US

