Surveillance des régions polaires par Altika

N. Tran F. Rémy, A. Guillot, N. Picot

Glaces de mer:

Région ArctiqueRégion Antarctique

Calottes glaciaires

- Groenland - Antarctique





Inland water

PEACHI Prototype



Sea-ice classification

 Improvement of the sea-ice detection in current Altika product



- Development of a multi-state sea-ice flag at 1-Hz as for Envisat mission (ocean, FYI, MYI, ambiguous) to help both oceanic and cryosphere studies in data selection
- Differences: Envisat (ocean, FYI, MYI, WI, ambiguous = mixture) vs Altika (ocean, FYI, MYI, ambiguous = FYI or MYI during summer, mixture)
- Two algorithms: one for each polar region
- Extension of the monitoring of the SI extent started with ENVISAT altimetric data



External data for validation (OSI-SAF daily-grids, 10 km)





- V1: average of the two MWR brightness temperatures (TB_23.8+TB_36.5)/2
- V2: Ka-band backscatter (MLE3 retracking algorithm output)
- V3: difference between the two brightness temperatures (TB_36.5-TB_23.8)





Tie-points obtained (cycles 5 & 10) for Arctic region





Post-classification rules to limite erroneous classification



- Use of masks to overrule the erroneous classification and ensure ocean (some differences with Envisat ones)
- Use of the waveform classification (PEACHI / Poisson et al, [2014]) in some areas to change (FYI, MYI, ambiguous, mixture) for ocean
- > Use of distance to coast during summer to change (FYI, MYI, ambiguous, mixture) for ocean
- ➢ No ambiguous data during winter → to change to FYI
- \blacktriangleright No FYI or MYI during summer \rightarrow to change to ambiguous
- > No MYI in some areas \rightarrow to change to FYI





sea-ice flags set and cumulated over cycles 9 to 19 period





New Altika flag





New Altika flag (without MIX)





Page 8



http://neptune.gsfc.nasa.gov/uploads/images_db/CSIC_figure2.png

Maximum 3/22 Extent Outline, 1979 (16.0*10⁶ km²)





Monitoring of extents in March (maximum) and September (minimum) that define the annual cycle (35-day period + pole hole filled)





possible underestimation because of altimeter sampling at mid latitudes

> shift of 15 days (end instead of mid-month) for the extent computation in March 2013 due to availability of first data after launch \rightarrow start of the melting period that explains the lower sea ice extent estimates when one compares with 2014 one centered on 15 March possibility of overestimation for some years because of the assumption of pole hole completely filled with ice (pole hole ~2.6 million km²) that could be wrong

http://www.cls.fr



Tie-points obtained for Antarctic region











cycle 17







2014

AL FLAG (ocean, FYI, mixture)



Monitoring of extents in March (minimum) and September (maximum) that define the annual cycle (35-day period)

Page 13



> shift of 15 days (end instead of mid-month) for the extent computation in March 2013 due to availability of first data after launch \rightarrow start of the freezing period that explain the higher sea ice extent estimate when one compares with other time-series



Conclusion about sea-ice

ARCTIC REGION		ICE_TYPE_OSISAF		FLG	FLG_GLACE ¹	
		ocean	(FYI, MYI, amb) ocean	sea-ice	
AL FLAG	ocean	-	0.40-2.36% lower differences	-	1.69-5.34%	
	(FYI, MYI, amb, mix)	4.77-12.01%		<0.36% (mostly mix)	-	
ANTARCTIC REGION		ICE_TYPE_OSISAF		FLG_GLACE		
		ocean	FYI	ocean	sea-ice	
AL FLAC	ocean	-	0.52-2.85%	-	0.65-5.19%	
	(FYI, mix)	2.07-6.32%	-	<0.27%	-	

- OSISAF flag indicates "no data" along coasts while the AL flag provides information on the ice type. This
 could be used to study polynyas extent close to coast.
- Smaller number of wrong sea-ice detection by the AL flag outside the ice-pack (i.e. along coasts) and provision of MYI when compared with FLG_GLACE
- Differences with FLG_GLACE (finer detail about the sea-ice coverage due to smaller altimeter footprint than radiometer ones ?)
- Differences with ICE_TYPE_OSISAF (due to nearest neighbor algorithm used (10 km grid) or to differences in resolution or sensor sensitivity or differences in time between observations (use of daily maps) ?)
- Concerning the extent monitoring: good continuity with the Envisat time-series



Polynyas location



Figure 1 Geographic distribution of polynyas. (A) The Arctic, where SLIP is the St. Lawrence Island Polynya, NEW is the North-east Water, and K is the Kashevarov Bank polynya. (B) The Antarctic, where W is the Weddell polynya, M is the Maud Rise polynya and C is the Cosmonaut Sea polynya. On both figures the dashed line indicates the position of the maximum ice edge. Polar stereographic map projection courtesy of the National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC).



Copied from [Martin, 2001] from http://polar.ocean.washington.edu/PAPERS/Polynya_encyclo.pdf



- There are uncertainties in estimating the correct height over ice sheet because of the radar wave penetration within the cold and dry snow medium. They display dependencies on snowpack characteristics which vary seasonally and spatially.
- Partition of ice sheet into different homogeneous regions can help for the interpretation of altimetry data.
- The monitoring of the extent changes of these regions could help to highlight some climate change effects on Greenland and Antarctica.



Envisat: Antarctica partition (winter)



influenced wind regime.

http://www.cls.fr





Envisat: Greenland partition (winter)



wind regime, temperature

and local melt effects.

glands

Wet snow zone: intense surface melting, snow is damp throughout the summer season

Ablation zone: all winter snow accumulation melts exposing the underlying ice





٠

- The snow facies classifiers partition the 2 ice sheets into regions with similar microwave signatures.
 - The difference in snow morphology is due to variable conditions in local climate (accumulation rate, air temperature, wind) which is governed by topography.
 - Presence of surface liquid water changes also the microwave signatures.
 - TB behaviors contribute the most in the partition
 - Less differences between Altika and Envisat over Antarctica
 - Differences over Greenland related to changes from 2012 summer more than differences between Ku / Ka (need to validate this assumption with Sentinel-3 data) ?
- A partition into 7 classes looks interesting over Antarctica while a 6-class solution is preferred for Greenland based on Altika data.
- Perspectives to extent the monitoring: need of very long time-series to be interpretable for climate change
 - Application to Sentinel-3 records

Thanks !