

DIAGTOOL REPORT



**Round Robin (GT cotier) : Mean sea surface.
medsea. J2. Mss cnescls15 vs Mss sio vs Mss
CnesCls21.**

1^{er} juillet 2022

Table of Contents

1	General description	2
2	Processing	2
2.1	sla formula	2
2.1.1	Mss_cnescls15 product ' sla	2
2.1.2	Mss_sio product ' sla	3
2.1.3	Mss_CnesCls21 product ' sla	3
2.2	Binning	3
2.3	Filtering	3
3	Spatial coherence analysis	4
3.1	Mss	4
3.1.1	Mss 's count	4
3.1.2	Mss 's std	10
3.1.3	Mss 's mean	16
3.2	sla	22
3.2.1	sla 's std	22
4	Histograms	28
4.1	Mss	28
5	Along-track analysis	29
5.1	Mss	29
5.1.1	Mss 's count	29
5.1.2	Mss 's std	30
5.1.3	Mss 's mean	31
5.2	sla	33
5.2.1	sla 's std	33

Table des figures

1	Spatial coherence analysis of the count of the Mss_cnescls15 version of Mss variable	4
2	Spatial coherence analysis of the count of the Mss_sio version of Mss variable	5
3	Spatial coherence analysis of the count of the Mss_CnesCls21 version of Mss variable	6
4	Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's count between Mss_sio and Mss_cnescls15	7
5	Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's count between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_cnescls15	8
6	Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's count between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_sio	9
7	Spatial coherence analysis of the std of the Mss_cnescls15 version of Mss variable	10
8	Spatial coherence analysis of the std of the Mss_sio version of Mss variable	11
9	Spatial coherence analysis of the std of the Mss_CnesCls21 version of Mss variable	12
10	Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's std between Mss_sio and Mss_cnescls15	13
11	Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's std between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_cnescls15	14
12	Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's std between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_sio	15
13	Spatial coherence analysis of the mean of the Mss_cnescls15 version of Mss variable	16

14	Spatial coherence analysis of the mean of the Mss_sio version of Mss variable	17
15	Spatial coherence analysis of the mean of the Mss_CnesCls21 version of Mss variable	18
16	Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's mean between Mss_sio and Mss_cnescls15	19
17	Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's mean between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_cnescls15	20
18	Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's mean between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_sio	21
19	Spatial coherence analysis of the std of the Mss_cnescls15 version of sla variable	22
20	Spatial coherence analysis of the std of the Mss_sio version of sla variable	23
21	Spatial coherence analysis of the std of the Mss_CnesCls21 version of sla variable	24
22	Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in sla 's std between Mss_sio and Mss_cnescls15	25
23	Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in sla 's std between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_cnescls15	26
24	Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in sla 's std between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_sio	27
25	Histograms of difference of each Mss version and reference one	28
26	Along-track analysis of Mss 's count	29
27	Along-track analysis of Mss 's std	30
28	Along-track analysis of Mss 's mean	31
29	Along-track analysis of Mss 's mean zoomed	32
30	Along-track analysis of sla 's std	33
31	Along-track analysis of sla 's std zoomed	34

1 General description

- Figures and notes have been included in this report to evaluate different altimetry products.
- In order to test different version of the Mean sea surface used to calculate the sea level anomaly. Each version has been compared with a reference version. In this case the Mss_cnescls15 is the reference one.
- The sea level anomaly has been calculated using each version of the variable and has been compared to the sea level anomaly calculated using the reference version.
- The region of study is medsea
- Mission : J2
- Git last tag :
- Git changeset number : fab9b1d-2022-06-30

2 Processing

2.1 sla formula

2.1.1 Mss_cnescls15 product ' sla

sla = ORBIT.ALT.PO_E -
 RANGE.ALT.RTK_ADAPTIVE -
 MEAN_SEA_SURFACE.MODEL.CNESCLS15 -
 SEA_STATE_BIAS.ALT.NON_PARAMETRIC_RTK_ADAPTIVE -
 IONOSPHERIC_CORRECTION.MODEL.GIM -
 WET_TROPOSPHERIC_CORRECTION.RAD -
 DRY_TROPOSPHERIC_CORRECTION.MODEL.ECMWF_GAUSS -
 DYNAMICAL_ATMOSPHERIC_CORRECTION.MODEL.MOG2D_HR -
 OCEAN_TIDE_HEIGHT.MODEL.FES14B -
 SOLID_EARTH_TIDE_HEIGHT.MODEL.CARTWRIGHT_TAYLER_71 -
 POLE_TIDE_HEIGHT.MODEL.DESAI_2015_MPL2017

2.1.2 Mss_sio product 'sla'

sla = ORBIT.ALT.PO_E -
RANGE.ALT.RTK_ADAPTIVE -
MSS_SIO.CTOH_J2 -
SEA_STATE_BIAS.ALT.NON_PARAMETRIC_RTK_ADAPTIVE -
IONOSPHERIC_CORRECTION.MODEL.GIM -
WET_TROPOSPHERIC_CORRECTION.RAD -
DRY_TROPOSPHERIC_CORRECTION.MODEL.ECMWF_GAUSS -
DYNAMICAL_ATMOSPHERIC_CORRECTION.MODEL.MOG2D_HR -
OCEAN_TIDE_HEIGHT.MODEL.FES14B -
SOLID_EARTH_TIDE_HEIGHT.MODEL.CARTWRIGHT_TAYLER_71 -
POLE_TIDE_HEIGHT.MODEL.DESAI_2015_MPL2017

2.1.3 Mss_CnesCls21 product 'sla'

sla = ORBIT.ALT.PO_E -
RANGE.ALT.RTK_ADAPTIVE -
MEAN_SEA_SURFACE.MODEL.CNESCLS21.CTOH_J2 -
SEA_STATE_BIAS.ALT.NON_PARAMETRIC_RTK_ADAPTIVE -
IONOSPHERIC_CORRECTION.MODEL.GIM -
WET_TROPOSPHERIC_CORRECTION.RAD -
DRY_TROPOSPHERIC_CORRECTION.MODEL.ECMWF_GAUSS -
DYNAMICAL_ATMOSPHERIC_CORRECTION.MODEL.MOG2D_HR -
OCEAN_TIDE_HEIGHT.MODEL.FES14B -
SOLID_EARTH_TIDE_HEIGHT.MODEL.CARTWRIGHT_TAYLER_71 -
POLE_TIDE_HEIGHT.MODEL.DESAI_2015_MPL2017

2.2 Binning

Each track has been divided to a set of sections, where the center of each section is separated by the sample frequency of the satellite times its velocity.

The data located within the sections limits represent the altimetry time-series on which the statistics will be calculated and visualized in this report.

2.3 Filtering

- The sla has been filtered by a threshold of 3 m.
- Each sla time-series has been filtered by a window of $[-4\sigma, 4\sigma]$, where σ is the standard deviation of the sla time series

3 Spatial coherence analysis

3.1 Mss

3.1.1 Mss 's count




FIGURE 1 – Spatial coherence analysis of the count of the Mss_cnescls15 version of Mss variable




FIGURE 2 – Spatial coherence analysis of the count of the Mss_sio version of Mss variable




FIGURE 3 – Spatial coherence analysis of the count of the Mss_CnesCls21 version of Mss variable




FIGURE 4 – Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's count between Mss_sio and Mss_cnescls15




FIGURE 5 – Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's count between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_cnescls15




FIGURE 6 – Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's count between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_sio




FIGURE 7 – Spatial coherence analysis of the std of the Mss_cnescls15 version of Mss variable




FIGURE 8 – Spatial coherence analysis of the std of the Mss_sio version of Mss variable




FIGURE 9 – Spatial coherence analysis of the std of the Mss_CnesCls21 version of Mss variable




FIGURE 10 – Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's std between Mss_sio and Mss_cnescls15




FIGURE 11 – Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's std between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_cnescls15




FIGURE 12 – Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's std between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_sio

3.1.3 Mss 's mean




FIGURE 13 – Spatial coherence analysis of the mean of the Mss_cnescls15 version of Mss variable




FIGURE 14 – Spatial coherence analysis of the mean of the Mss_sio version of Mss variable




FIGURE 15 – Spatial coherence analysis of the mean of the Mss_CnesCls21 version of Mss variable




FIGURE 16 – Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's mean between Mss_sio and Mss_cnescls15




FIGURE 17 – Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss' 's mean between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_cnescls15




FIGURE 18 – Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in Mss 's mean between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_sio

3.2 sla

3.2.1 sla's std




FIGURE 19 – Spatial coherence analysis of the std of the Mss_cnescls15 version of sla variable




FIGURE 20 – Spatial coherence analysis of the std of the Mss_sio version of sla variable




FIGURE 21 – Spatial coherence analysis of the std of the Mss_CnesCls21 version of sla variable




FIGURE 22 – Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in sla 's std between Mss_sio and Mss_cnescls15




FIGURE 23 – Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in sla 's std between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_cnescls15




FIGURE 24 – Spatial coherence analysis of the Difference in sla 's std between Mss_CnesCls21 and Mss_sio

4 Histograms

4.1 Mss




FIGURE 25 – Histograms of difference of each Mss version and reference one

5 Along-track analysis

5.1 Mss

5.1.1 Mss 's count




FIGURE 26 – Along-track analysis of Mss 's count

J2




FIGURE 27 – Along-track analysis of Mss 's std




FIGURE 28 – Along-track analysis of Mss 's mean

J2




FIGURE 29 – Along-track analysis of Mss 's mean zoomed

5.2 sla

5.2.1 sla's std




FIGURE 30 – Along-track analysis of sla's std




FIGURE 31 – Along-track analysis of sla 's std zoomed