### Using Jason-CS or Sentinel-3 to validate KaRIN scales from 50 to 150 km

G.Dibarboure, N.Picot (CNES), C.Ubelmann (CLS), R.Morrow (LEGOS)

### Executive summary

- Baseline Cal/Val strategy : use SWOT nadir altimeter for  $\lambda$  ~ 150-1000 km
- Nadir altimeters should be flying concurrently with SWOT: Jason-CS, S3A, S3B...
- Altimeter tracks often in the KaRIN swath rightarrow independent SSH for CalVal
  - Advantage: no interpolation, no smoothing
  - Limit: altimeter noise, difference in measurement time

#### **Objectives of this talk**

- Reminders : altimeter noise & natural variability 🖙 Spec for CalVal XOVERs
- Give an overview of cross-overs of interest for CalVal: where? when? length?

#### Main conclusions

- Nadir altimeters can be used to validate KaRIN scales from 50 to 150 km
- Plenty of usable XOVER segments with time difference < 1h
- But only during specific periods (guaranteed for Sentinel-3, not with Jason-CS)
- CalVal capability can be extended to 1000 km (solar time of SWOT at launch)

### **REMINDER #1: ALTIMETER NOISE**

# Average limit of along-track altimetry

#### Current observability

- $\lambda$  ~ 80 km with Jason-3
- $\lambda$  ~ 30-50 km with Sentinel-3 & AltiKa
- Global average (all SWH dynamics)

#### In the future

- New SARM algorithms (e.g. LRRMC) might be able to lower the nadir noise
- Jason-CS is next-gen SARM (less noise) 10-2
- Sentinel-3C for continuity in 2024+
- <u>Outlook for SWOT</u>

In 2021, noise of altimeters is low enough to validate  $\lambda > 50$  km



### **REMINDER #2: NATURAL VARIABILITY**

## SSH variability in a few hours

- $\bullet$  There is a lag  $\delta t$  between the measurement time of KaRIN and external altimeters
- But the SSH changes in a few hours (e.g.  $\delta$ SSH from internal tides/waves)
- At what point does  $\delta \text{SSH}$  from  $\delta t$  get close to the KaRIN requirement ?



## SSH variability (Gulf Stream region)

- Natural variability of  $\delta \text{SSH}$  versus KaRIN requirements
  - <u>1h  $\delta$ SSH is ok</u> : below requirements for  $\lambda$  ~ 50 to 1000 km
  - 3h  $\delta$ SSH is the limit (natural variability ~ error budget)
  - 12h  $\delta$ SSH could also be tested (re-synch of tides, but not internal waves)
- Conclusion for SWOT Cal/Val

 XOVER time difference must be 1h or less
 (probably conservative)

 Outlook: consolidate 1h threshold in other regions with different energy levels from mesoscale & internal waves/tides (e.g. what about 2h or 12h?)



# **GEOMETRY OF CROSS-OVER SEGMENTS**

### Geometry of Jason-CS overlap segments



Ascending <sub>SWOT</sub> and <u>Descending</u> <sub>JasonCS</sub> or <u>Ascending</u> <sub>JasonCS</sub>

### Geometry of Sentinel-3 overlap segments



### Length of XOVER in each KaRIN swath





Length x 2 if the 10km near-nadir gap of KaRIN is ignored

• XOVERs can be long enough for  $\lambda$  up to 1000 km

# CAN WE FIND 1-HOUR XOVERS? SENTINEL-3

## The importance of solar time

- Sentinel-3A and 3B are sunsynchronous at 10h (black line)
- The solar time of SWOT cycles through 24h in approx. 150 days (thick red line)
- $\bullet$  This effect controls when and where crossovers with  $\delta t{<}1h$  can be found
- Animation below: 1h XOVERs can exist where black line is between thin red lines



#### Animation: 150 days to cycle through all cases



### Case 1: good alignment of solar times

- Where a XOVER can exist, the time difference is always less than 1h
- Valid segments at all latitudes + some extremely long segments



### Case 2: no alignment of solar times

- If S3 is in the KaRIN swath, the time difference is more than 1h  $\rightarrow$  useless for CalVal
- Except above 75° (black line is between the red lines) → on ice / land (still useless)



One day's worth of S3A XOVER when solar times are not aligned

### Case 3: cross-alignment of solar times

- Solar times are aligned, but only for opposite directions  $\rightarrow$  short segments only
- Large scatter at low to mid-latitudes : changes rapidly every 4 days (S3 sub-cyle)



One day's worth of S3A XOVER when solar times are aligned in opposite direction (S3B: same quantity, different regions)

#### 150 days to cycle through all cases: XOVER latitude



#### 150 days to cycle through all cases: XOVER length



#### Length of XOVERs: when should be the sampling phase ?



Sentinel-3 provides many 1h XOVERs during any 90-day period Better if we align solar times ( $\lambda$  extended from 150 to 1000 km)

### Best option: 90-day fast sampling w/ case 1



### Good option: 90-day fast sampling w/ case 3



# CAN WE FIND 1-HOUR XOVERS? JASON-CS

### The importance of solar time

- The solar time of SWOT cycle is approx. 150 days (thick red line)
- The solar time of Jason-CS drifts as well in 120 days (black line)
- Jason and SWOT align for ~85 days every 250 days
- After that: 6 months without 1h XOVERS except near 66°
- Hit or miss for low latitudes: controlled by solar time of SWOT at launch



### GOOD: alignment asc/asc



### MEDIUM: alignment asc/desc



## BAD: no alignment for 90 days



- 1h XOVERs exist only at latitudes above 55°
- Typical XOVER segment is 40 to 60 km long (one on each KaRIN swath)
- Very few XOVERs longer than 70 km

If Jason-CS is used for CalVal, it is mandatory to control SWOT's solar time at launch

### Summary: Jason-CS and Sentinel-3

- Sentinel-3
  - S3A+S3B: two satellites (twice as many XOVER segments)
  - Many XOVERs at all latitudes during any 90-day period
  - Huge improvement if SWOT'solar times aligned with 10am/pm
  - Can be used to validate KaRIN up to 1000 km
- Jason-CS
  - Many XOVERs at all latitudes only during specific periods
  - 90-day fast sampling does <u>not</u> guarantee 1h XOVERs below 55°
  - Solar times <u>must</u> be aligned (hit or miss for low/mid latitudes)
  - Cannot validate  $\lambda$  ~ 250 to 1000 km (XOVERs are too short)

#### Sentinel-3 is a better Cal/Val asset for 50 to 1000 km

# CONCLUSIONS

## **Conclusions and Outlook**

- Altimeter noise is a limiting factor ( $\lambda > 50$  km for Sentinel-3 and Jason-CS)
- Natural variability may strongly limit XOVER usage (1h is safe in Gulf Stream region)
- Availability of XOVERs with time difference less than 1 hour
  - Many samples over 90 days :  $o(10^5)$  to  $o(10^6)$  km total, all basins, and all latitudes
  - Sentinel 3A + 3B can segments up to 3000 km (250 km for Jason-CS)
- But only when satellite solar times are aligned
  - Sentinel 3A + 3B: happens twice every 150 days (25 days each) → reliable on 90 days
  - Jason-CS: happens twice every 500 days (85-day each) → hit or miss
- Conclusions
  - Enough samples to validate the error budget for  $\lambda \sim 50$  to 150 km (1000 km with S3)
  - In-situ Cal/Val might focus on 2D validation and  $\lambda$  < 50 km
  - Aligning SWOT's solar time at launch is desirable for S3 (and mandatory for Jason-CS)
- Outlook
  - Test different regions and models to infer if XOVERs with 3h or more can be used (more samples + global ocean = more robust Cal/Val)

## **BACKUP SLIDES**

### What if a 3h time difference is acceptable in certain regions ?



- The critical period where solar times are aligned widens
- Three times more XOVERs
- Three times more very long XOVERs
- Still very import to align solar times



## SWOT x SWOT : No XOVER with short dT

- No XOVER with 1-hour or 2-hour differences below 75°
- The nadir altimeter of SWOT cannot be used for a mono-mission
  XOVER validation (more than 6h ⇒ too much natural variability)
- SWOT's altimeter is LRM (noise is a limit below 70-80 km)

The nadir altimeter of SWOT is primarily useful for the along-track validation (scales > 150 km)



## Reality VS. specs (defined for SWH=2m)

- The KaRIN noise and S3 noise are modulated by SWH
- SSH and SWH have regional and seasonal variability
- Climatology used to gauge actual observability (as a PDF of wavelength when SNR=1)
- The median (50% of the oceans) observability wavelength is
  - 20 km for SWOT (SRD requirement is for SWH = 2m)
  - 45 km for Sentinel-3

λ > 50 km is met over
 70% of the global ocean, even including SWH > 2m



Global PDF of the observability limit (SNR=1 as per SWOT SRD definition)

### LR-RMC processing: performance assessment



#### Global analysis (1 cycle of S3A)

- Large reduction of noise for HF content
- Same behavior as classical SARM processors for large scales
- SARM exibits a red noise for scales lower than 50km, linked with swell conditions
- Possible low-pass filtering residual near 20km, but the LR-RMC spectra looks much cleaner than SARM